Inferring interactions from camera trap data with the multivariate Hawkes process

Lisa Nicvert FRB-CESAB, Montpellier

@lisanicvert.bsky.socialin Lisa Nicvert

CESCO seminar "café scienti"

13/01/2025



Here icons: Freepik from Flaticon | Other drawings: © own work









Lyon, France

 \bigcirc

Engineer degree 2017-2020 Biostatistics and bioinformatics



Here icons: Freepik from Flaticon | Other drawings: © own work

Masters degree

2019-2020 Ecology, evolution, genomics







Lyon, France

0

Engineer degree 2017-2020 Biostatistics and bioinformatics



Here icons: Freepik from Flaticon | Other drawings: © own work

Masters degree

2019-2020 Ecology, evolution, genomics

PhD in statistical ecology CBBE October 2020- July 2024







Lyon, France

0

Engineer degree 2017-2020 **Biostatistics and bioinformatics**



Here icons: Freepik from Flaticon | Other drawings: © own work



Postdoc November 2024 - 2026





Masters degree

2019-2020 Ecology, evolution, genomics

PhD in statistical ecology CBBE October 2020- July 2024





Statistical methods and software tools and process multi-species data



Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks



Statistical methods and software tools and process multi-species data







Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks







Statistical methods and software tools and process multi-species data

Trait matching in ecological networks







Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks







and process multi-species data

Trait matching in ecological networks







Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks

Attraction-avoidance between species







and process multi-species data

Trait matching in ecological networks







Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks

Attraction-avoidance between species

Software tools to analyze ecological data









and process multi-species data



ARTICLE

trap data

Lisa Nicvert¹ | Sophie Donnet² | Mark Keith³ | Mike Peel^{4,5,6} Michael J. Somers³ | Lourens H. Swanepoel⁷ | Jan Venter^{8,9} | Hervé Fritz^{9,10} | Stéphane Dray¹



Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks



Using the multivariate Hawkes process to study interactions between multiple species from camera





































Interactions → distributions?



Interactions → distributions?

Diamond's checkerboard pattern Diamond 1975



Figure 21 from Diamond, 1975



Interactions \rightarrow distributions?

Diamond's checkerboard pattern Diamond 1975



Figure 21 from Diamond, 1975

THE ASSEMBLY OF SPECIES COMMUNITIES: CHANCE OR COMPETITION?1

EDWARD F. CONNOR^{2,3} Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22903 USA

AND

DANIEL SIMBERLOFF Department of Biological Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306 USA





Interactions → distributions?

Diamond's checkerboard pattern Diamond 1975



Figure 21 from Diamond, 1975

THE ASSEMBLY OF SPECIES COMMUNITIES: CHANCE OR COMPETITION?¹

EDWARD F. CONNOR^{2,3} Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22903 USA

AND

DANIEL SIMBERLOFF Department of Biological Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306 USA



Edward F. Connor,^{1,4} Michael D. Collins,² and Daniel Simberloff³

¹Department of Biology, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, California 94132 USA ²Department of Biology, Rhodes College, Memphis, Tennessee 38112 USA ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996 USA

The checkered history of checkerboard distributions: comment

Jared Diamond, ¹ Stuart L. Pimm, ^{2,4} and James G. Sanderson³







Interactions \rightarrow distributions?



Figure 21 from Diamond, 1975

THE ASSEMBLY OF SPECIES COMMUNITIES: CHANCE OR COMPETITION?¹

EDWARD F. CONNOR^{2,3} Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22903 USA

d distributions

iel Simberloff'

n Francisco, California 94132 USA 38112 USA oxville. Tennessee 37996 USA





Interactions → distributions?



Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Interactions \rightarrow distributions?

1. Smaller temporal scale





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Interactions → distributions?

- 1. Smaller temporal scale
- 2. Process-based approach



Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Interactions \rightarrow distributions?

- 1. Smaller temporal scale
- 2. Process-based approach



Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Infer interactions = effect of a species on the **distribution** of another one

Introduction **Camera traps** = cameras with automated trigger



Camera trap in the Karoo National parc (South Africa) © Own picture



Introduction Camera traps = cameras with automated trigger





Steenbok © Snapshot Safari

Camera trap in the Karoo National parc (South Africa) © Own picture



Part of a steenbok © Snapshot Safari



Introduction **Camera traps** = cameras with automated trigger





Steenbok © Snapshot Safari

Camera trap in the Karoo National parc (South Africa) © Own picture

Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Lukasiniho (wildebeest), Margot Michaud (lion), Zimices (zebra), and an unknown author (impala).



Part of a steenbok © Snapshot Safari







Introduction **Camera traps** = cameras with automated trigger





Steenbok © Snapshot Safari

Camera trap in the Karoo National parc (South Africa) © Own picture

Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Lukasiniho (wildebeest), Margot Michaud (lion), Zimices (zebra), and an unknown author (impala).



Part of a steenbok © Snapshot Safari



Continuous-time + multi-species data





Introduction Existing methods





Time intervals Harmsen et al. 2009, Parsons et al. 2016





Time intervals Harmsen et al. 2009, Parsons et al. 2016

Permutations Murphy et al. 2021





Silhouette images modified from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Time intervals Harmsen et al. 2009, Parsons et al. 2016

Permutations Murphy et al. 2021

Random records Cusack

et al. 2017, Karanth et al. 2017





Silhouette images modified from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Time intervals Harmsen et al. 2009, Parsons et al. 2016

Permutations Murphy et al. 2021

Random records Cusack et al. 2017, Karanth et al. 2017

- limited to 2 species
- no directionality
- difficult to interpret summary statistics







Silhouette images modified from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Time intervals Harmsen et al. 2009, Parsons et al. 2016

Permutations Murphy et al. 2021

Random records Cusack et al. 2017, Karanth et al. 2017

- limited to 2 species
- no directionality
- difficult to interpret summary statistics

→ point processes

Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024








Snapshot Safari monitoring

program (Pardo et al. 2021)

Map: Figure 1 from Nicvert et al. 2024 (data from OpenStreetMap contributors under OdbL license) | Pictures : © Snapshot Safari





Snapshot Safari monitoring program (Pardo et al. 2021)



Map: Figure 1 from Nicvert et al. 2024 (data from OpenStreetMap contributors under OdbL license) | Pictures : © Snapshot Safari





Snapshot Safari monitoring program (Pardo et al. 2021)





Blue wildebeest

Burchell's zebra

Greater kudu



Impala







Snapshot Safari monitoring program (Pardo et al. 2021)



\rightarrow > 2 years data and 70,000 pictures





Impala

Greater kudu

Lion







• Point process to model self-exciting events (Hawkes 1971)





- Point process to model self-exciting events (Hawkes 1971)
- Earthquakes aftershocks, stock market prices, action potentials in neurons...





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Intensity \approx instantaneous

occurrence rate





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Intensity \approx instantaneous

occurrence rate





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Intensity \approx instantaneous

occurrence rate



Model from Lambert et al. (2018), implemented in the R package UnitEvents Albert et al. 2021



Model from Lambert et al. (2018), implemented in the R package UnitEvents



Albert et al. 2021

 $\lambda_{i}^{l}(t) = \left(\nu_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{S} \sum_{m \mid T_{m}^{lj} < t} f_{j \to i}(t - T_{m}^{lj})\right)_{+}$





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Lukasiniho (wildebeest), Margot Michaud (lion), Robert Hering (kudu), Zimices (zebra), and an unknown author (impala).

Model from Lambert et al. (2018), implemented in the R package UnitEvents Albert et al. 2021





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Lukasiniho (wildebeest), Margot Michaud (lion), Robert Hering (kudu), Zimices (zebra), and an unknown author (impala).

Model from Lambert et al. (2018), implemented in the R package UnitEvents Albert et al. 2021





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Lukasiniho (wildebeest), Margot Michaud (lion), Robert Hering (kudu), Zimices (zebra), and an unknown author (impala).

Model from Lambert et al. (2018), implemented in the R package UnitEvents Albert et al. 2021





Silhouette images from PhyloPic by Zimices (zebra) and Margot Michaud (lion).

Model from Lambert et al. (2018), implemented in the R package UnitEvents Albert et al. 2021

Material and methods **The interaction function** $f_{j \rightarrow i}(t - T_m^{lj})$





Material and methods **The interaction function** $f_{j \rightarrow i}(t - T_m^{lj})$





$$= 0 \rightarrow no effect$$

$$< 0 \rightarrow$$
 replusion of *i* by *j*



Material and methods The interaction function $f_{j \to i}(t - T_m^{lj})$



- $> 0 \rightarrow$ attraction of *i* by *j*
- $= 0 \rightarrow \text{no effect}$



Material and methods **The interaction function** $f_{j \rightarrow i}(t - T_m^{lj})$



interaction length (4 days)

- $> 0 \rightarrow$ attraction of *i* by *j*
- $= 0 \rightarrow no effect$
- $< 0 \rightarrow$ replusion of *i* by *j*



Material and methods **The interaction function** $f_{j \rightarrow i}(t - T_m^{lj})$



interaction length (4 days)

- $> 0 \rightarrow$ attraction of *i* by *j*
- $= 0 \rightarrow no effect$
- $< 0 \rightarrow$ replusion of *i* by *j*







$$\lambda_i^l(t) = \left(\nu_i + \sum_{j=1}^S \sum_{m \mid T_m^{lj} < t} f_{j \to i}(t - T_j)\right)$$

LASSO-penalized least squares





$$\lambda_i^l(t) = \left(\nu_i + \sum_{j=1}^S \sum_{m \mid T_m^{lj} < t} f_{j \to i}(t - T)\right)$$

LASSO-penalized least squares

- Least squares: parameter fitting
- LASSO penalization: model selection





$$\lambda_i^l(t) = \left(\nu_i + \sum_{j=1}^S \sum_{m \mid T_m^{lj} < t} f_{j \to i}(t - T)\right)$$

LASSO-penalized least squares

- Least squares: parameter fitting
- LASSO penalization: model selection
 - Hyperparameter $\gamma \in [0, 1] \rightarrow$ strength of penalization
 - Simulation study $\rightarrow \gamma$ set to 0.5





Material and methods **Simulation**





Material and methods Simulation



simulation



2

S Q tra era σ -• 200 300 100 0 Time (days)



Material and methods Simulation



simulation



different interaction strengths





S \mathbf{O} tra era C -0 200 100 300 $\left(\right)$ Time (days)

2



Material and methods Simulation



simulation



different interaction strengths



S \mathbf{O} ŋ t L C 200 100 300 Time (days)

different data lengths

J



Material and methods **Simulation**





inference



different interaction strengths





different data lengths



Material and methods **Simulation**





inference



different interaction strengths





different data lengths



Results & discussion

Modified from Figure 4 from Nicvert et al. 2024



Results & discussion Evaluate the inference

Performance -- true positive rate -- true negative rate



Results & discussion Evaluate the inference

Performance --- true positive rate ---- true negative rate



Trapping days per camera (× 25 cameras)

Modified from Figure 4 from Nicvert et al. 2024




















- \rightarrow typical interaction strength?





- rate of:-Occurrence r 0.0 -0.0 -

Auto-interactions



Cross-species interactions

Is impacted by...









Parametrization 36 hours 6 hours bins

- 0.2 rate of:-Occurrence 1 0.0 -0.2 -0.0 -

Auto-interactions









Parametrization 36 hours 6 hours bins

Strong auto-attractions

0.2 -0.0 -0.0 -0.0 -0.2 Occurrence 1 0.0 -0.2 -0.0 -









Parametrization 36 hours 6 hours bins

Strong auto-attractions

Impala attracted by other herbivores

> 0.2 rate of... Occurrence 1 0.0 -0.2 -0.0 -

Figure 5 from Nicvert et al. 2024









Parametrization 36 hours 6 hours bins

Strong auto-attractions

Impala attracted by other herbivores

Zebra attracted by other herbivores









Strong auto-attractions

Impala attracted by other herbivores

Zebra attracted by other herbivores

Wildebeest attracted by zebra









Parametrization 36 hours 6 hours bins

Strong auto-attractions

Impala attracted by other herbivores

Zebra attracted by other herbivores

Wildebeest attracted by zebra

Impala and zebra avoiding lion











Auto-attractions

Social behaviors



Group of impalas © Snaphot Safari



Auto-attractions

Social behaviors

Herbivore-herbivore attractions

Beaudrot et al. 2020

Mixed-species groups



Impalas and zebras © Snaphot Safari

20

Auto-attractions

Herbivore-herbivore attractions

Beaudrot et al. 2020

Grazing succession

Bell 1971, Anderson et al. 2024

Social behaviors

Mixed-species groups



Impalas and zebras © Snaphot Safari

20

Auto-attractions

Herbivore-herbivore attractions

Beaudrot et al. 2020

Grazing succession

Bell 1971, Anderson et al. 2024

Lion avoidance

Anti-predation strategy Valeix et al. 2009

Social behaviors

Mixed-species groups



Impala fleeing from lions © Gavin St Leger



Auto-attractions

Social behaviors

Herbivore-herbivore attractions

Beaudrot et al. 2020

Grazing succession

Bell 1971, Anderson et al. 2024

Lion avoidance

Anti-predation strategy Valeix et al. 2009

Icons: Freepik from Flaticon

Mixed-species groups

Confounding factors

Spatial variables



Auto-attractions

Social behaviors

Herbivore-herbivore attractions

Beaudrot et al. 2020

Grazing succession

Bell 1971, Anderson et al. 2024

Lion avoidance

Valeix et al. 2009

Icons: Freepik from Flaticon

Mixed-species groups

Anti-predation strategy

Confounding factors

- Spatial variables
- Circadian rhythms (H)





Ideas and Perspectives

Co-occurrence is not evidence of ecological interactions

F. Guillaume Blanchet 🔀, Kevin Cazelles, Dominique Gravel

distributions \rightarrow interactions





Ideas and Perspectives

Co-occurrence is not evidence of ecological interactions yet **Temporal delay**

F. Guillaume Blanchet 🔀, Kevin Cazelles, Dominique Gravel

distributions \rightarrow interactions



Point processes to analyze camera trap data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024









Point processes to analyze camera trap

data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024

• multi-species approach









Point processes to analyze camera trap data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024

- multi-species approach
- directed interactions









Point processes to analyze camera trap

data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024

- multi-species approach
- directed interactions
- temporal evolution of interaction strength









Point processes to analyze camera trap

data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024

- multi-species approach
- directed interactions
- temporal evolution of interaction strength



Steenbok © Snapshot Safari

Perspectives





Point processes to analyze camera trap

data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024

- multi-species approach
- directed interactions
- temporal evolution of interaction strength



Steenbok © Snapshot Safari

Perspectives

• improve model (covariates)





Point processes to analyze camera trap

data Schliep et al. 2018, Kellner et al. 2022, Ferry et al. 2024

- multi-species approach
- directed interactions
- temporal evolution of interaction strength



Steenbok © Snapshot Safari

Perspectives

- improve model (covariates)
- controlled experiments → check hypotheses







✓@lisanicvert.bsky.social
in Lisa Nicvert





References

Nicvert, L. (2024). Statistical methods and software tools to analyze and infer ecological networks and process multi-species data. Universite Claude Bernard Lyon 1. https://theses.hal.science/tel-04751639

Nicvert, L., Donnet, S., Keith, M., Peel, M., Somers, M. J., Swanepoel, L. H., Venter, J., Fritz, H., & Dray, S. (2024). Using the multivariate Hawkes process to study interactions between multiple species from camera trap data. *Ecology*, 105(4), e4237. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.4237.

Diamond, J. M. (1975). Assembly of species communities. In M. L. Cody & J. M. Diamond, Ecology and evolution of communities (pp. 342–444). Harvard Univ. Press.

Connor, E. F., & Simberloff, D. (1979). The Assembly of Species Communities: Chance or Competition? *Ecology*, 60(6), 1132–1140. https://doi.org/10.2307/1936961

Connor, E. F., Collins, M. D., & Simberloff, D. (2013). The checkered history of checkerboard distributions. *Ecology*, 94(11), 2403–2414. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1471.1

Diamond, J., Pimm, S. L., & Sanderson, J. G. (2015). The checkered history of checkerboard distributions: Comment. *Ecology*, 96(12), 3386–3388. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1848.1</u>

Blanchet, F. G., Cazelles, K., & Gravel, D. (2020). Co-occurrence is not evidence of ecological interactions. Ecology Letters, 23(7), 1050–1063. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13525

Harmsen, B. J., Foster, R. J., Silver, S. C., Ostro, L. E. T., & Doncaster, C. P. (2009). Spatial and Temporal Interactions of Sympatric Jaguars (Panthera onca) and Pumas (Puma concolor) in a Neotropical Forest. Journal of Mammalogy, 90(3), 612–620. https://doi.org/10.1644/08-MAMM-A-140R.1

Parsons, A. W., Bland, C., Forrester, T., Baker-Whatton, M. C., Schuttler, S. G., McShea, W. J., Costello, R., & Kays, R. (2016). The ecological impact of humans and dogs on wildlife in protected areas in eastern North America. Biological Conservation, 203, 75–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.001

Murphy, A., Diefenbach, D. R., Ternent, M., Lovallo, M., & Miller, D. (2021). Threading the needle: How humans influence predator-prey spatiotemporal interactions in a multiple-predator system. Journal of Animal Ecology, 90(10), 2377–2390. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13548

Cusack, J. J., Dickman, A. J., Kalyahe, M., Rowcliffe, J. M., Carbone, C., MacDonald, D. W., & Coulson, T. (2017). Revealing kleptoparasitic and predatory tendencies in an African mammal community using camera traps: A comparison of spatiotemporal approaches. Oikos, 126(6), 812–822. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03403

Karanth, K. U., Srivathsa, A., Vasudev, D., Puri, M., Parameshwaran, R., & Kumar, N. S. (2017). Spatio-temporal interactions facilitate large carnivore sympatry across a resource gradient. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 284(1848), 20161860. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1860



References

Schliep, E. M., Gelfand, A. E., Clark, J. S., & Kays, R. (2018). Joint Temporal Point Pattern Models for Proximate Species Occurrence in a Fixed Area Using Camera Trap Data. Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, 23(3), 334–357. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13253-018-0327-8

Kellner, K. F., Parsons, A. W., Kays, R., Millspaugh, J. J., & Rota, C. T. (2022). A Two-Species Occupancy Model with a Continuous-Time Detection Process Reveals Spatial and Temporal Interactions. Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, 27(2), 321–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13253-021-00482-y

Ferry, N., Dupont, P., Bender, A., & Heurich, M. (2024). Introducing recurrent event analyses to assess species interactions based on camera-trap data: A comparison with time-tofirst-event approaches. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 15(7). https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.14338

Pardo, L. E., Bombaci, S. P., Huebner, S., Somers, M. J., Fritz, H., Downs, C., Guthmann, A., Hetem, R. S., Keith, M., le Roux, A., Mgqatsa, N., Packer, C., Palmer, M. S., Parker, D. M., Peel, M., Slotow, R., Strauss, W. M., Swanepoel, L., Tambling, C., ... Venter, J. A. (2021). Snapshot Safari: A large-scale collaborative to monitor Africa's remarkable biodiversity. South African Journal of Science, 117(1/2), 1–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2021/8134</u>

Hawkes, A. G. (1971). Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes. *Biometrika*, 58(1), 83–90. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/58.1.83

Lambert, R. C., Tuleau-Malot, C., Bessaih, T., Rivoirard, V., Bouret, Y., Leresche, N., & Reynaud-Bouret, P. (2018). Reconstructing the functional connectivity of multiple spike trains using Hawkes models. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 297, 9-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2017.12.026

Albert, M., Bouret, Y., Chevallier, J., Fromont, M., Grammont, F., Laloe, T., Mascart, C., Reynaud-Bouret, P., Rouis, A., Scarella, G., & Tuleau-Malot, C. (2021). UnitEvents: Unitary Events Method with Delayed Coincidence Count (MTGAUE or Permutation Method) and Bernstein Lasso method for Hawkes processes (Version 0.0.8). https://sourcesup.renater.fr/ frs/?group_id=3267

Beaudrot, L., Palmer, M. S., Anderson, T. M., & Packer, C. (2020). Mixed-species groups of Serengeti grazers: A test of the stress gradient hypothesis. Ecology, 101(11), e03163. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3163

Bell, R. H. (1971). A grazing ecosystem in the Serengeti. Scientific American, 225(1), 86–93.

Anderson, T. M., Hepler, S. A., Holdo, R. M., Donaldson, J. E., Erhardt, R. J., Hopcraft, J. G. C., Hutchinson, M. C., Huebner, S. E., Morrison, T. A., Muday, J., Munuo, I. N., Palmer, M. S., Pansu, J., Pringle, R. M., Sketch, R., & Packer, C. (2024). Interplay of competition and facilitation in grazing succession by migrant Serengeti herbivores. Science, 383(6684), 782-788. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg0744

Valeix, M., Fritz, H., Loveridge, A. J., Davidson, Z., Hunt, J. E., Murindagomo, F., & Macdonald, D. W. (2009). Does the risk of encountering lions influence African herbivore behaviour at waterholes? Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 63(10), 1483–1494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-009-0760-3

